Marine Life Protection Act Initiative

- 8-member Blue Ribbon Task Force (BRTF)
- 55-member Regional Stakeholder Group
 - (RSG) (32 primary and 23 alternate representatives)
- 17-member Science Advisory Team (SAT)
- 17-member Initiative Team staff
- 26-member Statewide Interest Group (SIG)



• Master Plan Framework

- Process for designing alternative proposals for MPA network components
- Considerations in the design of MPAs
- Management
- Enforcement
- Monitoring

Regional Profile

- Habitat descriptions
- Oceanographic features
- Land-sea interactions
- Coastal communities
- Recreational and commercial fisheries and aquaculture
- Jurisdiction and management

Major Products (continued)

- Regional goals and objectives
- List of species most likely to benefit from MPAs
- Ecotrust commercial fisheries effort study
- Non-extractive recreational use study
- Individual objectives for MPAs
- Development of alternative packages of MPAs



Regional Stakeholder Group (cont.)

- Port and harbor districts
 - Rick Algert
 - Steve Scheiblauer
- Conservation
 - D'Anne Albers
 - Kaitilin Gaffney
 - Gordon Hensley
 - Marla Morrisey
 - Milos Radakovich
- Non-extractive recreation
 - Michelle Knight
 - Jesus Ruiz
 - John Wolfe

State and federal agencies

Ellen Faurot-Daniels

Holly Price

Walter Schobel

Research and education

Don Canestro

John Pearse

Other

Kris Lindstrom Ron Massengill Robin Robinson



- 7 meetings of the RSG
- 7 meetings of the BRTF
- 10 meetings of the SAT
- 6 meetings of the SIG
- 1000s of hours or communication, data acquisition, and data analysis
- Development of work products

December 2005

- CCRSG finalizes packages and objectives for individual MPAs
 - December 15, 2005, 8:00 AM deadline
 - Final candidate MPA internal/external packages
 - Final individual MPA objectives
- Completed packages posted to web for comment
- Staff and SAT analyses of packages

January - February 2006

- SAT meets January 20 to review final packages
- Staff incorporates SAT evaluations into its analyses and posts information to website
- BRTF meets Jan. 31-Feb. 1 to consider packages, evaluations, and hear public comment
- BRTF guidance for revisions posted; public comment invited
- As directed by BRTF, staff may work with stakeholders and SAT to refine packages



- SAT meets March 2 for further evaluations
- Staff incorporates SAT evaluations, public comment and other information into options for BRTF action
- BRTF meets March 14-15 to make its recommendation to DFG
- BRTF decision posted to web

April 2006 and thereafter

- DFG considers recommendation from BRTF, makes a decision on a preferred alternative, and forwards recommendation to the Fish and Game Commission in May 2006
- CEQA analysis begins
- Fish and Game Commission receives the DFG recommendation and begins public hearings
- Possible commission action late in 2006









